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Abstract. Given a file $T$, and the Huffman encoding of its elements, we suggest using a pruning technique for Wavelet trees that enables direct access to the $i$-th element of $T$ by reordering the bits of the compressed file and using some additional space. When compared to a traditional Wavelet tree for Huffman Codes, our different reordering of the bits usually requires less additional storage overhead by reducing the need for auxiliary rank structures, while improving processing time for extracting the $i$-th element of $T$.

1 Introduction and previous work

Research in Lossless Data Compression was originally concerned with finding a good balance between the competing efficiency criteria of compressibility of the input, processing time and additional auxiliary space required by compressed data structures. Working directly with compressed data is now a popular research topic, including not only classical text but also various useful data structures and application with a wide range of data structures. One of the fundamental components of these structures is the Wavelet tree, suggested by Grossi et al. [11], which has meanwhile become an object of research in its own right, with many useful properties and applications. Enhancing the usefulness operation of Wavelet trees for Huffman encoded text is the focus of this paper.

Conventional binary encoding of uncompressed data is by using some standard fixed length code, like ASCII, which has many advantages, for example, allowing direct access to the $i$-th codeword for any $i$, which might be useful when partial or parallel decoding is required. However, fixed length codes are wasteful from the storage point of view, and have therefore been replaced in many applications by variable length codes. This may improve the compression performance, but at the price of losing the simple random access, because the beginning position of the $i$-th codeword is the sum of the lengths of all the preceding ones.

A possible solution to allow random access to variable length codes is to divide the encoded file into blocks of size $b$ codewords, and to use an auxiliary vector to indicate the position of each block. The time complexity of random access depends on the sampled bit address of the $j$-th block to retrieve, thus suggests a processing time vs. memory storage tradeoff. Directly accessible codes (DACs), based on Vbyte words represent integers. The Vbyte code splits the
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\[ \lfloor \log x_i \rfloor + 1 \text{ bits needed to represent an integer } x_i \text{ in its standard binary form into } \]
blocks of \( b \) bits and prepends each block with a flag-bit as follows. The highest bit is 0 in the extended block holding the most significant bits of \( x_i \), and 1 in the others. Thus, the 0 bits act as a comma between codewords. In the worst case, the Vbyte code loses one bit per \( b \) bits of \( x_i \) plus \( b \) bits for an almost empty leading block, which is worse than Elias- \( \delta \) encoding. Using a space overhead of \( O\left(\frac{n \log \log n}{b \log n}\right) \), DACs achieve direct access to the \( i^{th} \) codeword in \( O\left(\frac{\log(M)}{b}\right) \) processing time, where \( M \) is the maximum integer to be encoded, and \( n \) is the size of the encoded file.

Another line of investigation led to the development of Wavelet trees, which allow direct access to any codeword, and in fact recode the compressed file into an alternative form. Wavelet trees can be defined for any prefix code and the tree structure is inherited from the tree usually associated with the code. The internal nodes of the Wavelet tree are annotated with bitmaps. The root holds the bitmap obtained by concatenating the first bit of each of the sequence of codewords in the order they appear in the compressed text. The left and right children of the root hold, respectively, the bitmaps obtained by concatenating, again in the given order, the second bit of each of the codewords starting with 0, respectively with 1. This process is repeated similarly on the next levels: the grand-children of the root hold the bitmaps obtained by concatenating the third bit of the sequence of codewords starting, respectively, with 00, 01, 10 or 11, if they exist at all, etc.

The data structures associated with a Wavelet tree for general prefix codes require some amount of additional storage (compared to the memory usage of the compressed file itself). Given a text string of length \( n \) over an alphabet \( \Sigma \), the space required by Grossi et al.’s implementation can be bounded by \( nH_h + O\left(\frac{n \log \log n}{\log |\Sigma|}\right) \) bits, for all \( h \geq 0 \), where \( H_h \) denotes the \( h \)th-order empirical entropy of the text, which is at most \( \log |\Sigma| \); processing time is just \( O(m \log |\Sigma| + \text{polylog}(n)) \) for searching any pattern sequence of length \( m \). Multiary Wavelet trees replace the bitmaps by sequences over sublogarithmic sized alphabets in order to reduce the \( O(\log |\Sigma|) \) height of binary trees. They obtain the same space as the binary ones, but their times are reduced by \( O(\log \log n) \) factor. If the alphabet \( \Sigma \) is small enough, say \( |\Sigma| = O(\text{polylog}(n)) \), the tree height is a constant and so are the query times.

Brisaboa et al. [3] used a variant of a Wavelet tree on Byte-Codes. This induces a 128 or 256-ary tree, rather than a binary one, and the root of the Wavelet tree contains the first byte of each codeword, the first bit, of all the codewords, in the same order as they appear in the compressed string. The second level nodes then store the second byte of the codewords, and so on. The reordering of the compressed text bits becomes an implicit index representation of the text, which is empirically shown to be better than explicit main memory inverted indexes, built on the same collection of words, but with the same amount of space.

Külekci [15] suggested the usage of Wavelet trees for Elias and Rice variable length codes. The method is based on handling separately the unary and binary parts of the codeword, rather than concatenating them, and direct access is supported in constant time. As an alternative, one may store the lengths of the unary section of each codeword, rather than storing their binary section, allowing direct access in time \( \log r \), where \( r \) is the number of distinct unary lengths in the file.

Recently Klein and Shapira [14] adapted the Wavelet tree to Fibonacci Codes, so that in addition to direct access to the Fibonacci encoded file, the compression savings when compared to the original Fibonacci compressed file are
increased. We use a similar approach in this paper and prune the Wavelet trees for general prefix codes without losing the direct access property. The tree is a Skeleton Huffman tree suggested by Klein [13], so that there are fewer internal nodes, and shorter paths from the root and less memory storage. This compact representation was also used for improving the processing time for compressed pattern matching [19].

A similar, yet different, alphabet partition according to frequencies has already been suggested by Gagie et al. [8], who study the problem of efficient representation of prefix codes, under the assumption that the maximum codeword length is \(O(w)\), where \(w\) is the length of a machine word. They divide the alphabet into frequent and rare characters according to their Huffman codeword length, and store information just for the frequent ones, while the rare characters are lexicographically sorted. Using a multiary Wavelet tree, constant time encoding and decoding is achieved for small enough alphabets, at the price of increasing the codeword length of the rare characters, hurting the optimality of the Huffman code. Our approach is designed for all sizes of alphabets and the optimality of the Huffman codewords is retained at the price of slower processing.

Another data structure based on partitioning the alphabet into groups of characters of similar frequencies is due to Barbay et al. [1]. This data structure stores the text in \(nH_0 + o(n)(H_0 + 1)\) bits and supports the worst-case time \(O(\log \log |\Sigma|)\) and average time \(O(\log H_0)\). The sequences of sub-alphabet identifiers are stored in a multiary Wavelet tree, while the subsequences corresponding to each group are stored in uncompressed format.

Many of the data structures mentioned above use efficient access to bit vectors based on fast implementations of operations known as \texttt{rank} and \texttt{select}. These are defined for any bit vector \(B\) and bit \(b \in \{0, 1\}\) as:

\begin{align*}
\texttt{rank}_b(B, i) & \quad \text{returns the number of occurrences of } b \text{ up to and including position } i; \\
\texttt{select}_b(B, i) & \quad \text{returns the position of the } i\text{th occurrence of } b \text{ in } B.
\end{align*}

Note that \(\texttt{rank}_{1-b}(B, i) = i - \texttt{rank}_b(B, i)\). Specifically, \(\texttt{rank}_1(B, i)\) needs to be computed. However, as both \(\texttt{rank}_0(B, i)\) and \(\texttt{select}_0(B, i)\) are necessary for both \(\texttt{select}_b(B, i)\) and \(\texttt{select}_1(B, i)\) are available, it is convenient that \(\texttt{rank}\), on a bit-vector of length \(n\), can be constructed in \(n + o(n)\) bits.

It is important to stress that the overhead of the access data structures for a bitmap of size \(n\) is not negligible. We suggest to reduce the size of the Wavelet tree without hurting the direct access capabilities. Methods proposed in [10] suggest practical implementations for \texttt{rank} and \texttt{select}, reducing the storage overhead to merely a few percent, at the price of losing the constant time access and a negligible increase in processing time. By applying binary search, the \(\texttt{select}_b(B, i)\) operation can be done by applying binary search on the index \(j\) so that \(\texttt{rank}_b(B, j) = i\) and \(\texttt{rank}_b(B, j-1) = i-1\). As for the constant time solution for \(\texttt{select}\), the bitmap \(B\) is partitioned into blocks, similar to the solution for the \(\texttt{rank}\) operation. Other efficient implementations are due to Raman et al. [18], Okanohara and Sadakane [17], Barbay et al. [2] and Navarro and Providel [16]. We refer to the thesis of Clark [5] for more details.
The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 deals with random access to Huffman encoded files, using Wavelet trees especially adapted to Huffman compressed files. Section 3 improves the self-indexing data structure by pruning the Wavelet tree using a skeleton Huffman tree. Section 4 further improves the overhead storage by pruning the Wavelet tree even further by means of a reduced skeleton tree. Finally, Section 5 concludes.

Random Access to Huffman Encoded Files

Recall that the binary tree $T_C$ corresponding to a prefix code $C$ is defined as follows: we imagine that every edge pointing to a left child is labeled 0 and every edge pointing to a right child is labeled 1; each node $v$ is associated with the bit string obtained by concatenating the labels on the edges on the path from the root to $v$; finally, $T_C$ is defined as the binary tree for which the set of bit strings associated with its leaves is the code $C$.

![Figure 1. The Wavelet tree induced by the canonical Huffman tree corresponding to the frequencies {8,5,4,4,2,2,1,1,1,1,1,1,1} of {-,E,A,T,F,M,R,H,L,N,S,U,V,W}, respectively, assigned to the leaves, left to right.](image)

A Huffman tree is **canonical** if, when scanning its leaves from left to right, they appear in non-decreasing order of their depth. To build a canonical Huffman’s algorithm is only used for generating the lengths $\ell_i$ of the codewords; the $i$th codeword then consists of the first $\ell_i$ bits immediately to the right of the “binary point” in the infinite binary expansion of $\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} 2^{-\ell_j}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

As mentioned above, the nodes of the Wavelet tree are annotated by bitmaps. These bitmaps can be stored in a single bit stream by concatenating them in order of any predetermined top-down tree traversal, such as depth-first or breadth-first. No delimiters between the individual bitmaps are required, since we can restore the tree topology along with the bitmaps lengths at each node once the size $n$ of the text is given in the header of the file. For example, the canonical Huffman tree for the example text $T = \text{A--HUFFMAN--WAVELET--MATTERS}$ is depicted above. The Wavelet tree of our running example is the entire figure including the annotating bitmaps. It should be noted that the shape of the traditional Wavelet tree is not restricted to the underlying canonical Huffman tree. For a given distribution, there may be many different Huffman trees, and for some distributions, there might even exist Huffman trees of different depths.
Different topologies would imply different Wavelet trees and for convenience, we refer to the canonical one for the discussion in the next sections.

The algorithm for extracting the $i$-th element of the text $T$ by means of a Huffman Wavelet tree rooted by $v_{\text{root}}$ is given in Figure 2, using the function call $\text{extract}(v_{\text{root}}, i)$. $B_v$ denotes the bitmap belonging to vertex $v$ of the Wavelet tree, and $\cdot$ denotes concatenation. Computing the new index from the bitmap is done by the rank operation in lines 2.1.3 and 2.2.3. The decoding of the codeword $cw$ in line 3 by means of the decoding function $D$ can be done by a preprocessed lookup table.

```
extract(v, i)
1   cw ← ϵ
2   while $v$ is not a leaf
2.1   if $B_v[i] = 0$ then
2.1.1      $v$ ← left($v$)
2.1.2      cw ← cw $\cdot$ 0
2.1.3      $i$ ← rank$_0(B_v, i)$
2.2   else
2.2.1      $v$ ← right($v$)
2.2.2      cw ← cw $\cdot$ 1
2.2.3      $i$ ← rank$_1(B_v, i)$
3   return $D(cw)$
```

Figure 2. Extracting the $i$-th element of $T$ from a Wavelet tree rooted at $v$.

3 Enhanced Direct Access

A Skeleton Huffman tree, or sk-tree for short, is a canonical Huffman tree from which all full subtrees of depth $h \geq 1$ have been pruned. Thus, a path from the root to a leaf of an sk-tree is a prefix of several codewords of the original Huffman tree. The prefix is necessary in order to identify the length of the current codeword. The sk-tree contains the height, $h(v)$, of the subtree that has been pruned. Notice that leaves that were also leaves in the canonical Huffman tree), leaves of the sk-tree are colored in gray, and the numbers $h(v)$ are given in the leaves of the sk-tree.

We adjust the Wavelet tree to Huffman skeleton codes in the following way. The shape of the Wavelet tree is that of the sk-tree, to which the children of those nodes have been added, which are leaves in the sk-tree but not in the original Huffman tree, that is, the leaves $v$ for which $h(v) \geq 1$. Bitmaps will be stored for the internal nodes of the Wavelet tree, as well as for the leaves that are children of leaves $v$ of the sk-tree for which $h(v) > 1$, albeit the nature of these latter bitmaps will be different. The internal nodes will store the bitmaps as in the original Wavelet tree, whereas the annotated leaves will store the binary strings obtained by the concatenation of the suffixes of length $h - 1$ of the corresponding codewords, in the same order as they appear in the compressed text. That is, each such suffix appears the same number of times as the number of occurrences of the corresponding alphabet symbol.

In the running example, the resulting pruned Wavelet tree is given in Figure 3. Consider the node labeled 3; it refers to the prefix 11 of several codewords, and in it relates to the third bit of these codewords, which are all
Figure 3. Pruned Huffman Wavelet tree for the text $T = A$--HUFFMAN--WAVELET--TREE--MATTERS

of length 5. We thus eliminate the 3 bits that were already taken care of (110 for the left child and 111 for the right one), and consider only the remaining suffixes of size 2. In our example, the left child corresponds to the codewords 11000, 11001, 11010, and 11011, prefixed by 110 and refer to the symbols \{R, H, L, N\} of Figure 1, respectively. Their suffixes occur in the bitmap in the same order they appear in $T$, namely 01 11 10 00 00, corresponding to the order HNLRR. A similar idea is also used on suffix or position trees in order to attain an efficient compacted suffix trie \[6\], and has also been applied on Fibonacci Wavelet trees, producing a compact Wavelet tree \[14\].

The algorithm for extracting the $i$-th element of $T$ from a pruned Huffman Wavelet tree requires some adjustments for concatenating the pruned parts. Figure 4 is the extract function. Line 2.2.1 concatenates the fixed length suffix of size $h(v) - 1$ bits to the end of the codeword. The correct suffix can be accessed directly using the computed index $i$ by simply extracting the substring of $B_v$ starting at position $(h(v) - 1)i$ and ending at position $(h(v) - 1)(i + 1) - 1$. We use the notation $B_v[x..y]$ to denote the substring from position $x$ to, and including, position $y$ of a bit-string $B$.

```
extract(v, i)
1 cw ←· ε
2 while v is not a leaf
2.1 if $h(v) = 0$ then
2.1.1 if $B_v[i] = 0$ then
2.1.1.1 v ← left(v)
2.1.1.2 cw ← cw · 0
2.1.1.3 i ← rank_0(B_v, i)
2.1.2 else
2.1.2.1 v ← right(v)
2.1.2.2 cw ← cw · 1
2.1.2.3 i ← rank_1(B_v, i)
2.2 else if $h(v) ≠ 0$
2.2.1 cw ← cw · $B_v[(h(v) - 1)i .. (h(v) - 1)(i + 1) - 1]
3 return $D(cw)$
```

Figure 4. Extracting the $i$-th element of $T$ from the pruned Huffman Wavelet tree.

The following discussion refers to the select operation, however, a similar approach could be applied in order to answer the rank operation. Computing select($x, i$) for selecting the $i$-th occurrence of $x$ is done in the traditional Wavelet tree by processing
the tree upwards. One starts from the leaf, $\ell$, representing the Huffman codeword $c(x)$ of $x$, initializes $v$ to be the father of $\ell$, and works its way up to the root. In each iteration, $i$ is assigned a new value $\text{select}_0(B_v, i)$ or $\text{select}_1(B_v, i)$, depending on $\ell$ being a left or right child of $v$, respectively. The node $v$ then proceeds to its father for the following stage. The running time for $\text{select}(x, i)$ is $O(|c(x)|)$.

Taking a closer look at our suggested data structure, the nodes that store the values $h(v)$ induce a partition of the alphabet into several equivalence classes. Some of these classes are singletons, while the others are of size $2^k$ for some $k$. The skeleton Huffman tree does not have the ability to distinguish between elements of the same class. Thus, when applying $\text{select}(x, i)$ on our pruned data structure, only partial information is attained. Instead of returning the $i^{th}$ occurrence of $x$, $x$ becomes a representative of its class, and the $i^{th}$ occurrence of elements which are in the same class as $x$ is returned.

However, the classes are formed according to the probabilities of their elements, which does not necessarily imply any other connection. Nevertheless, whereas the exact values cannot be calculated using the original $\text{select}(x, i)$ algorithm, this algorithm can still be used to derive a lower bound on the index of the $i^{th}$ occurrence of $x$. If $\text{select}(x, i) = j$, then the index of the $i^{th}$ occurrence of $x$ is $\geq j$. It is equal to $j$ if all occurrences of elements belonging to the class of $x$ correspond only to occurrences of $x$ itself. If $\text{extract}(v_{\text{root}}, j) \neq x$, a larger lower bound can be computed by applying $\text{select}$ again with increasing $i$, until $\text{extract}(v_{\text{root}}, j) = x$.

Although the $\text{select}$ query cannot be answered in constant time using the pruned Wavelet tree, the exact value can still be derived iteratively. For example, finding the index of the first occurrence of $x$ can be done in the following way: if $\text{select}(x, 1) = j$ and $\text{extract}(v_{\text{root}}, j) = x$, the first occurrence of $x$ is found at index $j$. If $\text{extract}(v_{\text{root}}, j) \neq x$, but $\text{select}(x, 2) = k$ and $\text{extract}(v_{\text{root}}, k) = x$, the first occurrence of $x$ is found at index $k$. Otherwise the process continues until there exists some $\ell$ for which $\text{select}(x, \ell) = m$ and $\text{extract}(v_{\text{root}}, m) = x$. For larger $i$, the $\text{select}(x, i)$ query can be computed as follows:

```
1  counter ← 0; ℓ ← 1; m ← 0;
2  while counter < i and m ≤ n
3      m ← select(x, ℓ);
3.1  if extract(v_{\text{root}}, m) = x
3.1.1         counter++
3.2  ℓ++
```

It should be noted that the negative effect of using the pruned Wavelet tree on the $\text{select}$ query might not seem as large as it might seem on the first sight. The equivalence classes of the codewords that have been pruned may be quite large, as can be seen, for example, in Figure 5 below, but the large classes correspond to the smaller probabilities. Thus, there is no need to know how many codewords have been pruned, and we can still perform a $\text{select}(x, \ell)$ query for any $x$. Nonetheless, a reasonable assumption would be to assume that the appearance of codewords $x$ in such queries is according to their probability of occurrence in the text. In that case, the weighted average size of the equivalence classes will be quite small, so that an iterative search as suggested above is not such a burden. An indication for this asymmetric behavior of skeleton trees can be found by comparing the savings they imply on the space and time complexities: while the number of nodes can be
reduced by 95% or more on large distributions, the weighted average path length for
the same distributions is only shortened to about half, see the examples in [13].

The extract operation is much easier to apply on fixed length codes than on variable
length codes. In our pruned data structure, nodes \( v \) with \( h(v) > 0 \) store
fixed length suffixes, hence, the improvement of the extract operation on our
pruned Wavelet trees for Huffman codes is clear. However, this is not the case when
processing fixed length codes in order to locate and count the occurrences of a given codeword.

Counting occurrences or locating the \( i \)th occurrence of a given codeword in the pruned
data structure requires to perform a rank or select operation on the fixed length
suffixes stored in the leaves of the pruned Wavelet tree. It seems, that if no auxiliary
structure is used, then the rank and select queries must be performed sequentially,
and the advantage of using fixed length suffixes disappears.

One could ask, therefore, whether rank and select queries can be done in a more
efficient way for fixed length than for variable length codes. If this is the case, we can
apply such a strategy on the fixed length suffixes of our data structure and support
efficient rank and select queries as well, gaining processing time, since the lengths
of many of the codewords are shortened.

Note that the bits in the bitmaps stored in the leaves of the pruned Wavelet tree
are the same as for the original Wavelet tree, only their order may have changed. In
our example, the 18 bits appearing in boldface in Figure 3 in the subtree rooted by the
node labeled 3 are the same as the 18 bits in the corresponding subtree of the original Huffman
Wavelet tree. This is a consequence of the fact that the rank and select operations were not
necessary for gaining direct access. The bitmaps belonging to codeword suffixes are not necessary for
this process, because the bitmaps belonging to codeword suffixes are already accessed when
processing the text. The processing time is improved by accessing a smaller number of bitmaps. To
evaluate the savings induced by the pruning (restricting the analysis of the rank or select function), we
introduce the following notations. For an internal node \( v \) of the canonical Huffman
tree, define \( \text{pref}(v) \) as the prefix of all the codewords corresponding to this node.

So, \( \text{pref}(\text{root}) = \Lambda \), denoting the empty string, and in Figure 1, if \( t \) is the node on
level 3 annotated by the bitmap 0011, then \( \text{pref}(t) = 110 \). Let \( C \) be the set of all the
codewords. For a codeword \( c \in C \) denote by \( x(c) \) the corresponding character of the
alphabet, and let \( \text{freq}(x) \) be the number of occurrences of \( x \) in the text. The length
of the bitmap \( B_v \) stored at node \( v \) of the Wavelet tree is then given by

\[
|B_v| = \sum_{\{c \in C \mid \text{pref}(v) \text{ is a prefix of } c\}} \text{freq}(x(c)).
\]

In particular, if \( v \) is the root, we get that \( |B_v| \) is the sum of the frequencies of all the
elements of the alphabet, which is equal to the length of the text in characters.

Summing the lengths of all the bitmaps in the Wavelet tree gives the size, in bits,
of the compressed file:

\[
\text{Size of compressed file} = \text{lengths of all bitmaps} = \sum_{\{v \mid v \text{ is an internal node}\}} |B_v|.
\]

Let \( R(n) \) denote the size of the data structures required by the rank function for a
bitmap of size \( n \). This could be \( O\left(\frac{n \log \log n}{\log n}\right) \) to allow constant time, and although this
size is \( o(n) \), we mentioned above that it is still not negligible, even for very large \( n \).
As alternative, \( R(n) \) can be reduced to \( \frac{n}{20} \), at the price of increased processing time. The overall size, RSW, required by the rank structure of the original Wavelet tree is thus

\[
RSW = \sum_{\{v \mid v \text{ is an internal node}\}} R(|B_v|).
\]

When using the pruned version, the rank structures for the bitmaps corresponding to pruned subtrees are not needed. Denote by \( T_w \) the subtree rooted at the node \( w \) and by SKL the set of leaves of the sk-tree. The number of bits saved for the rank structures by the pruning process, \( RSW' \), is given by

\[
RSW' = \sum_{\{w \mid w \in \text{SKL} \wedge h(w) > 1\}} \sum_{\{v \mid v \in T_w \wedge v \neq w\}} R(|B_v|).
\]

For example, for the tree in Figure 4, the outer summation refers to all the leaves of the sk-tree, which are the gray nodes labeled by the numbers \( h(v) \), but only for one node, the condition \( h(w) > 1 \) is satisfied. The inner summation goes over all the internal nodes, except the root of the subtree.

It follows that the savings depend on the shape of the canonical tree and the corresponding sk-tree. In the worst cases, the skeleton tree yields no savings at all, but this happens only for highly skewed distributions implying a depth of \( \Omega(|\Sigma|) \) for the Huffman tree, which is extremely rare for large alphabets. In general, the number of pruned nodes is substantial, and the overhead for the rank structures, \( RSW - RSW' \), will be significantly smaller for the pruned version of the Wavelet tree.

4 Reduced skeleton trees

Extending the pruning idea, we wish to prune the Huffman tree even more, possibly suggesting a tradeoff between space efficiency and processing time. However, it is not clear that processing time would be hurt by this, since less internal nodes would be processed. The idea is replacing the topology of the Wavelet tree by a Reduced Skeleton tree suggested in [13]. The Reduced Skeleton tree prunes the Skeleton Huffman tree at some node at which the length of the current codeword is only partially determined. That is, when getting to a leaf of a Reduced Skeleton Tree, it is not yet possible to deduce the length of the current codeword, but some partial information is available: the possible lengths belong to a set of size at most 2.

\[\text{Figure 5. Canonical Huffman tree, sk-tree (bold, red and blue) and reduced sk-tree (broken lines, blue) for 200 elements of a Zipf distribution, defined by the weights } p_i = 1/(i H_n), \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n, \text{ where } H_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n}(1/j) \text{ is the } n\text{-th harmonic number.}\]
Consider, for example, the canonical Huffman tree given to the probability distribution of \( n = 200 \) elements implied by Zipf's law \[21\], which is believed to govern the distribution of the most common words in a large natural language text. The bold (red or blue) edges are the corresponding sk-tree, and the subset of the bold edges, those with broken lines (blue), are the reduced sk-tree. For instance, when one gets to the leaf of the reduced sk-tree corresponding to 110, one already knows that the codeword will be of length 8 or 9, so a single comparison suffices.

The algorithm for extracting the \( i \)-th element of \( T \) when the Wavelet tree is constructed according to the reduced skeleton tree is similar to the algorithm presented earlier in Figure 4, and is given in Figure 6. We now need a flag field for each leaf \( v \), with \( \text{flag}(v) = 0 \) if \( v \) is also a leaf in the skeleton Huffman tree (i.e., the length of the codeword is known when getting to this leaf while traversing the encoded string starting at the root; note that no leaf of the reduced sk-tree in Figure 5 has this property, but for other distributions, such leaves do exist), and \( \text{flag}(v) = 1 \) otherwise. In the latter case, the suffixes rooted at \( v \) are not of the same length, and we adjust the shorter suffixes to be of the length of the longer ones by padding them at their right end with a single 0. We then concatenate all these equal sized reconstructed suffixes in the same order as they appear in the text, as in skeleton Wavelet trees. The value \( h(v) \) now stores the length of the suffix of the longer codeword if \( v \) is a leaf, and 0 if \( v \) is an internal node.

When a leaf \( v \) is reached, the current suffix is initialized as having length \( h(v) \). This is the correct setting when \( \text{flag}(v) = 0 \). When \( \text{flag}(v) = 1 \), we compare the integer value \( j \) obtained by using the retrieved suffix with that of the first codeword of length \( |cw| \). If \( j \) is smaller or equal, we know that the length of the codeword is \( |cw| - 1 \), hence we remove the trailing 0 from the current codeword.

```latex
\begin{figure}[h]
\begin{verbatim}
4    \text{else} // h(v) \neq 0
4.1   \text{cw} \leftarrow \text{cw} \cdot B_v[(h(v) - 1)i \ldots (h(v) - 1)(i + 1) - 1]
4.2   \text{if flag}(v) = 1 \text{ then}
4.2.1  \text{if } \text{cw} \leq \text{first codeword of length } |cw| \text{ then}
4.2.1.1 \text{ remove trailing 0 from } \text{cw}
5     \text{return } \mathcal{D}(\text{cw})
\end{verbatim}
\caption{Extracting the \( i \)-th element of \( T \) from a Wavelet tree based on a reduced skeleton tree.}
\end{figure}
```

5 Conclusion

We have presented a new data structure for reducing the space overhead of a Huffman shaped Wavelet tree when used to support extract queries to the underlying text by means of a Skeleton Huffman tree. The running time is expected to be improved as compared to the running time of the traditional Wavelet tree, since shorter paths outgoing the root down to the leaves are processed. We intend to implement the pruned data structure and include experimental results in the full version of this paper.
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