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Basic Text/String Indexing Problem

Find all occurrences of a pattern $P[1,m]$ in a text $T[1,n]$.

$T = a c a t t b c a t t c$
$P = a t t$
Occurrences = \{3, 8\}

Index $T$ in space proportional to “$n$” and answer queries in time propositional to “$m$”.
String Indexing using Suffix Tree

\[ S = \text{cattattagga}\$ \]

**ST:**

\[ \text{SA:} 11 \quad 10 \quad 7 \quad 4 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 9 \quad 8 \quad 6 \quad 3 \quad 5 \quad 2 \]

**Suffix Tree = Suffix Array + Tree Structure + …**

Space = \( O(n \log n) \) bits

Text’s space = \( n \log |\Sigma| \) bits
Suffix Trees & its (1000++) Applications

- longest repeat finding
- longest common substring
- Indexed pattern/substring matching
- Matching statistics
- Shortest unique substring
- Longest palindromic substring
- ........
- ........
- MEMs/MUMs
- All pairs Suffix prefix overlaps
- Clustering
- Compression Algorithms
- ........

Optimal/LINEAR time solutions for EXACT matching version

ST:

\[ S = \text{cattattagga}\$ \]
The Challenge is Space

Consider indexing a human genome
(3.2 billion symbols from \{A,C,G,T\})

text’s space ≈ 0.8 GB
Index space ≈ 30 to 40 GB

Sufffix Tree = Suffix Array + Tree Structure + ...
Space = \(O(n \log n)\) bits

Text’s space = \(n \log |\Sigma|\) bits
String Indexing using **Suffix Tree** Suffix Array

Consider indexing a human genome
(3.2 billion symbols from \{A,C,G,T\})

text’s space \approx 0.8 GB
Index space \approx 12 GB (15 times)

Pattern matching via Binary Search in time \(O(P \log n + \text{occ})\)
\(P = a\ t\ t\)

**Suffix Tree** = **Suffix Array** + Tree Structure

Space = \(\Theta(n \log n) - n \log n\) bits + text

Text’s space = \(n \log |\Sigma|\) bits
A Big Open Problem in Late 90's

Indexing in Space close to text's space?
A Big Open Problem in Late 90's

Indexing in Space close to text's space?

The answer is “YES”

We can simultaneously encode the text and the suffix array/tree in text's space

The Compressed Suffix Array [Grossi and Vitter, STOC 2000]
FM-index [Ferragina and Manzini, FOCS 2000]
LCP Array Compression (Compressed ST) [Sadakane, SODA 2002]

....

....

....

r-index and Suffix Trees in Space proportional to BWT-runs
[Gagie, Prezza, Navarro, SODA 2018]

.....
(Compressed)
Text Indexing
for
Problems Beyond Exact Matching
?
Problems Beyond Exact Matching

- Approximate PM (under mismatches/edits/gaps, etc)
- Jumbled PM
- Parameterized PM
- Order-isomorphic PM
- 2D (multi-dimensional) PM
- Cartesian Tree Matching
- Structural PM
- Circular PM
- Episode Matching
- Functional PM
- Blocked PM
- Permuterm PM

....

....
Suffix Trees with Missing Suffix Links

- Parameterized pattern matching
- Order-isomorphic pattern matching
- 2D (multi-dimensional) pattern matching

Suffix Tree Like Solutions exist

BUT

Compressed Space Solutions?
Indexing for Parameterized Matching (P-matching)

Text = a b b a c a t b b a b c a
Pattern = x y x

Two strings are p-match IFF there exists a one-to-one function that renames the characters in one to another

E.g., x → a, y → c in x y z gives a c a
Indexing for Parameterized Matching (P-matching)

Text = a b b a c a t b b a b c a
Pattern = x y x

Two strings are p-match IFF there exists a one-to-one function that renames the characters in one to another

E.g., x → a, y → c in x y z gives a c a

Main idea: Prev encoding !!!

Prev(ababb) = 00221

Two strings are p-match IFF they have the same Prev encoding

Prev(x y x) = 0 0 1 = Prev(a c a)

Parameterized Suffix Trees

- Motivation: find duplication in software systems

Brenda Baker, Bell Labs
http://cs.bell-labs.com/cm/cs/who/baker.html
Parameterized Duplications in Strings: Algorithms and an Application to Software Maintenance

Compressed Index via Parameterized BWT --- Efficient and Elegant [SODA 17, ICALP 2022]
(Compact) **Indexing for Order-isomorphic Matching**
(notoriously difficult)

**Order Isomorphic Suffix Trees** [Crochemore, SPIRE 2013]

Compressed version [LF-successor, ICALP 2021] - Messy and Somewhat Slow :(

Picture Courtesy: Kim et al. [TCS 2014]
Indexing for 2D matching (2D Suffix Array)

- There are m+n-1 diagonals in m x n array
- For each diagonal form a square array
- For each square array, decomposing in a “\( \downarrow \)” shapes,
- Each “\( \downarrow \)” is mapped to a number (Giancarlo[7]), and a square is a string num(s), forming quasi-suffix collection (each with different ending symbol)
- since m+n-1 diagonals, m+n-1 square for a multiple quasi-suffix collection

Compressed Inverse Suffix Array [Patel and Shah, ISAAC 2021]
Next:
Parameterized BWT and Parameterized Suffix Array Compression

Let's start with a quick review of BWT, LF mapping, etc.
Encoding the Suffix Array

Text = mississippi$

LF mapping:

\[ j = LF[i] \text{ iff } SA[j] = SA[i] - 1 \]

LF[9] = 11

LF[3] = 9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suffix Array</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 11 8 5 2 1 10 9 7 4 6 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Encoding the Suffix Array

Text = mississippi$

LF mapping:
\( j = LF[i] \) iff \( SA[j] = SA[i] - 1 \)

LF[9] = 11
LF[3] = 9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sampled SA (sampling factor D = 3)

| 12 | | | | | | 9 | | | | 6 | 3 |

Suffix Array = LF mapping + sampled suffix array

how to encode?
(n/D) log n bits

Computing SA[3]
- \( LF[3] = 9 \)
- \( LF[9] = 11 \)
- \( SA[11] = 6 \) is stored
- \( SA[3] = 6 + 2 = 8 \)
### Encoding the Suffix Array

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mississippi$</td>
<td>ississippi$m</td>
<td>ssissippi$mi</td>
<td>sissippi$mis</td>
<td>sissippi$miss</td>
<td>sissippi$missi</td>
<td>sippi$missis</td>
<td>ippi$mississ</td>
<td>ississippi$</td>
<td>ississippi</td>
<td>mississippi</td>
<td>mississippi</td>
<td>mississippi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Burrows Wheeler Transform (BWT)

- BWT = ipssm$pissii
- \( \text{LF}[i] = \text{kth position in c's range} \)

- If \( \text{BWT}[i] = c \) \& \# of c in \( \text{BWT}[1..i] = k \)
- Then \( \text{LF}[i] = \text{kth position in c's range} \)
Another way of looking at LF Mapping and BWT

- Let $i$ and $j$, where $i < j$ are two leaves in the suffix tree.
  We say $(i, j)$ is an **inversion** if $L[i] > L[j]$ and **non-inversion** otherwise.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{LF}[i] &= n_1 + n_2 + 1 \\
n_1 &= \# \text{ of non-inversions on left of } i \\
n_2 &= \# \text{ of inversions on the right of } i
\end{align*}
\]

LF can be implemented via inversion counting.
Another way of looking at LF Mapping and BWT

- Let $i$ and $j$, where $i < j$ are two leaves in the suffix tree. We say $(i, j)$ is an inversion if $L[i] > L[j]$ and non-inversion otherwise.

- Can we store some (succinct) information with each leaf, so that given an $(i, j)$ pair, we can quickly decide if it is an inversion.

- This is exactly BWT, inversion IFF $BWT[i] > BWT[j]$

$$n_1 = \text{# of non-inversions on left of } i$$

$$n_2 = \text{# of inversions on the right of } i$$

$$LF[i] = n_1 + n_2 + 1$$

LF can be implemented via inversion counting.
Let's move on to parameterized suffix array.
Parameterized Suffix Tree/Array

Prev Encoding of a string $S$: for each character,

- replace the first occurrence by “0” and
- any other occurrence by the distance to its right most previous occurrence

Example: $\text{Prev}(ababb) = 00221$
Parameterized Suffix Tree

Prev Encoding of a string S: for each character,

- replace the first occurrence by “0” and
- any other occurrence by the distance to its right most previous occurrence

Example: Prev(ababb) = 00221
Parameterized Suffix Tree

Prev Encoding of a string S: for each character,
- replace the first occurrence by “0” and
- any other occurrence by the distance to its right most previous occurrence

Example: $\text{Prev}(ababb) = 00221$

What (succinct) information can be associated with the leaves, so that order inversion (and hence PLF) can be computed? .......... $pBWT$ and tree topology, etc
BWT vs pBWT

**BWT**

Let $T[x, n]$ be the string corresponding to $i$-th leaf in (standard) suffix tree, then $BWT[i]$ records edits between strings corresponding to $i$-th and $LF[i]$-th leaves. i.e., $BWT[i] = T[x-1]$. 
BWT vs pBWT

**BWT**
Let $T[x, n]$ be the string corresponding to i-th leaf in (standard) suffix tree, then BWT[i] records edits between strings corresponding to i-th and LF[i]-th leaves. i.e., BWT[i] = T[x-1].

**pBWT**
Let Prev($T[x, n]$) be the string corresponding to i-th leaf in parameterized suffix tree, then pBWT[i] records edits between strings corresponding to i-th and pLF[i]-th leaves.

Example: Let $T[x, n] = \text{a a b a b c a d b ...}$ and $T[x-1] = \text{c}$, then

- Prev($T[x, n]$) = Prev($\text{a a b a b c a d b ...}$) = 0 1 0 2 2 0 3 0 4 ...
- Prev($T[x-1, n]$) = Prev($\text{c a a b a b c a d b ...}$) = 0 0 1 0 2 2 6 3 0 4 ...

$pBWT[i] = 3$, since the 3rd “0” is changed ---- to the largest value possible at its location.
BWT vs pBWT

**BWT**
Let $T[x, n]$ be the string corresponding to $i$-th leaf in (standard) suffix tree, then BWT[i] records edits between strings corresponding to $i$-th and LF[i]-th leaves. i.e., BWT[i] = $T[x-1]$.

**pBWT**
Let $\text{Prev}(T[x, n])$ be the string corresponding to $i$-th leaf in parameterized suffix tree, then pBWT[i] records edits between strings corresponding to $i$-th and pLF[i]-th leaves.

Example: Let $T[x, n] = a a b a b c a d b ...$ and $T[x-1] = c$, then

\[
\text{Prev}(T[x, n]) = \text{Prev}(a a b a b c a d b ... ) = 0 1 0 2 2 0 3 0 4 ...
\]
\[
\text{Prev}(T[x-1, n]) = \text{Prev}(c a a b a b c a d b ... ) = 0 0 1 0 2 2 6 3 0 4 ...
\]

pBWT[i] = 3, since the 3rd “0” is changed ---- to the largest value possible at its location.

Given $(i, j, pBWT[i], pBWT[j])$, where $i < j$, can we quickly decide if $pLF[i] > pLF[j]$ (i.e., inversion)?
Inversion or NOT?

Given \((i, j, \text{pBWT}[i], \text{pBWT}[j])\), where \(i < j\), can we quickly decide if \(\text{pLF}[i] > \text{pLF}[j]\) (i.e., inversion)?

\[\text{alphabet-depth}(\text{LCA}(i,j)) = 3, \text{ the # of 0's on its path}\]
Inversion or NOT?

Given \((i, j, pBWT[i], pBWT[j])\), where \(i < j\), can we quickly decide if \(pLF[i] > pLF[j]\) (i.e., inversion)?

- \((2, 3)\) is an inversion
- \((2, 4)\) is an inversion
- \((3, 2)\) is a non-inversion
- \((4, 4)\) is a non-inversion

In short, inversion IFF “i” change before “j” before LCA i.e., \(pBWT[i] < pBWT[j]\), alphabet-depth(LCA)

If \((x = 0)\), there is an additional CASE: inversion if \(pBWT[i] = \text{alphabet-depth}(LCA) + 1 \leq pBWT[j]\)
Putting things together

- Inversion or NOT in PST can be Computed Quickly
- Implement inversion counting via batch queries (mostly using standard techniques from succinct data structures)
- LF mapping \( LF[i] = n1+n2+1 \)
Putting things together

- Inversion or NOT in PST can be Computed Quickly
- Implement inversion counting via batch queries (mostly using standard techniques from succinct data structures)
- LF mapping $LF[i] = n_1 + n_2 + 1$

LF mapping in time $O(\log |\Sigma|)$ via pBWT+tree topology+ etc. in space $n \log |\Sigma| + l.o.t$ (in bits)

Therefore,
Compressed PSA in space $n \log |\Sigma| + O((n \log n)/ D) + l.o.t$ bits
SA/ISA queries in time $O(D \log |\Sigma|)$ [SODA 17]

i.e., $O(n \log |\Sigma|)$ bits space and $O(\log n)$ time by fixing $D$
Putting things together

- Inversion or NOT in PST can be Computed Quickly
- Implement inversion counting via batch queries (mostly using standard techniques from succinct data structures)

- LF mapping \( LF[i] = n1 + n2 + 1 \)

**LF mapping in time** \( O(\log |\Sigma|) \) via pBWT+tree topology+ etc. in space \( n \log |\Sigma| + \text{l.o.t} \) (in bits)

Therefore,
Compressed PSA in space \( n \log |\Sigma| + O(\frac{n \log n}{D}) + \text{l.o.t} \) bits
SA/ISA queries in time \( O(D \log |\Sigma|) \) [SODA 17]

i.e., \( O(n \log |\Sigma|) \) bits space and \( O(\log n) \) time by fixing \( D \)

More Space-time Trade-offs and LCP Compression.
i.e., compact PST [ICALP 2022]

- \( O(n \log |\Sigma|) \) bits space and \( O(\log \epsilon n) \) time
- \( O(n \log |\Sigma| \log \log \log |\Sigma| n) \) bits space and \( O(\log \log |\Sigma| n) \) time
- \( O(n \log |\Sigma| \log |\Sigma| \epsilon n) \) bits space and \( O(1) \) time

This matches the best space-time trade-offs for suffix trees
Next is

Order-isomorphic Suffix Array/Tree Compression
Order-isomorphic Suffix Tree

Key idea is Pred Encoding. Pred(S) replace each character by the (closest) distance to its predecessor (and 0 is there is not predecessor)

Order-isomorphic ST is a compact trie of all Pred enoded suffixes of the text. Linear space and pattern matching can be done efficiently

Compressed Indexing is hard, because of the main changes in Pred encoding. Example,

Let $T[x, n] = 7 \ 6 \ 5 \ 4 \ 3 \ 2 \ ...$ and $T[x-1] = 1$

$\text{Pred}(T[x,n]) = 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ ...$
$\text{Pred}(T[x-1, n]) = 0 \ 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 5 \ 6 \ ...$ (i.e., many changes)
Order-isomorphic Suffix Tree

**New idea for Compression: LF successor,**

\[ j = LF\text{-successor}(i) \text{ IFF } LF[j] = LF[i]+1 \]

- We showed that LF-successor can be implemented in \( O(\log \sigma) \) time
- Then LF via multiple LF-successors in \( O(\log n) \) time
- Finally SA/ISA queries via multiple LF queries in \( O(\log^2 n) \) time [ICALP 2021]
Next we have

2D Suffix Array/Tree Compression
Summary and Open Problems

- Compression of
  - Parameterized Suffix Arrays/Trees (well solved)
  - Order-isomorphic Suffix Arrays/trees (we have some solution, but messy and less efficient)
  - 2D Suffix Arrays/Trees (Wide Open for research)

- Repetition-aware Compression
- Efficient Construction
- Indexing for other/newer problems
Thank you for listening

Questions?