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## camtesian tree

## Cartesian Tree

The Cartesian Tree $C T(S)$ of a numeric series $S=(S[1], \ldots, S[n])$ is defined recursively as follows, where $i_{\min }$ is the leftmost index of the minimum value in $S$.

- The root of $C T(S)$ is $i_{\text {min }}$,
- the left subtree of $i_{\text {min }}$ is $C T\left(S\left[1 . . i_{\text {min }}-1\right]\right)$, and
- the right subtree of $i_{\text {min }}$ is $C T\left(S\left[i_{\text {min }}+1 . . n\right]\right)$.
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## Cartesian tree matching

CTMStr problem [Park et al., 2019]
Input: Text $T[1 . . n]$ and pattern $P[1 . . m]$.
Output: Every substring $T^{\prime}$ of a text $T$ such that $C T\left(T^{\prime}\right)=C T(P)$.

- Park et al. proved that CTMStr can be solved in $O(m+n)$ time and $O(n)$ space.
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## Cartesian tree subsequence matching

CTMSeq problem [This work]
Input: Text $T[1 . . n]$ and pattern $P[1 . . m]$.
Output: Every minimal subsequence $T^{\prime \prime}$ of a text $T$ such that $C T\left(T^{\prime}\right)=C T(P)$.
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## Motivation

- We extend to CTMSeq, which is a non-continuous subsequence version of CTMStr.
- The motivation for extending to subsequence is to ignore measurement errors.
- We develop efficient algorithm for solving CTMSeq.
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## Motivation

- We extend to CTMSeq, which is a non-continuous subsequence version of CTMStr.
- The motivation for extending to subsequence is to ignore measurement errors.
- We develop efficient algorithm for solving CTMSeq.
measurement errors



## Related work (OPM)

OPM problem [Kim et al., 2014]
Input: Text $T[1 . . n]$ and pattern $P[1 . . m]$.
Output: Every substring $T^{\prime}$ of a text $T$ such that the relative orders of values in $T^{\prime}$ are the same as that of a pattern $P$.

- OPM can be solved in $O(m+n)$ time [Kim et al., 2014].
- The subsequence version of OPM is shown to be NP-hard [Bose et al., 1998].
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## Related work (OPM)

- OPM is a problem that relaxes the matching constraints of CTM.
- By definition, if it matches at OPM, it matches at CTM .
- The converse doesn't always hold (you can see that in the counterexample).


Figure: The counterexample of CTM $\Rightarrow$ OPM

## Related work (CTMIS)

CTMIS problem [Gawrychowski et al., 2020] Input: Two indeterminate strings $T[1 . . n]$ and $P[1 . . n]$.

Output: Whether there exists determinate strings $T^{\prime} \in \tilde{T}$ and $P^{\prime} \in \tilde{P}$ such that $C T\left(T^{\prime}\right)=C T\left(P^{\prime}\right)$

- CTMIS can be solved in $O(n \log n \log \log n)$ time and $O(n \log n)$ space

$$
T=(\underline{(2|7| 10}, \underline{5|20| 31}, \underline{10|17| 25}, \underline{1|9| 11,} \underline{1|8| 18)} \quad P=\underline{(2|4| 7,2|5| 6}, \underline{1|4| 8}, \underline{4|7| 8}, \underline{3|10| 16})
$$
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## Summary of related works

Table: time complexity for each problem

| Matching model | substring | subsequence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPM | $O(m+n)$ | NP-hard <br> [Bim et al., 2014] |
| CTM et al., 2014] |  |  |

- $n$ is the length of text $T$.
- $m$ is the length of pattern $P$.


## Definition of occurrence

- An interval $[\ell, r]$ is said to be an occurrence interval if $C T(P)=C T\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ for some subsequence of $T[\ell . . r]$.
- An occurrence interval $[\ell, r]$ is said to be minimal if there is no occurrence interval $\left[\ell^{\prime}, r^{\prime}\right]$ such that $\left[\ell^{\prime}, r^{\prime}\right] \subsetneq[\ell, r]$.
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## Our problem

CTMSeq problem [This work] Input: Text $T[1 . . n]$ and pattern $P[1 . . m]$.
Output: Every minimal occurrence intervals.


Output: [3,9], [1,5]

## Our results

| algorithm | time | space |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| simple | $O\left(m n^{2}\right)$ | $O(m n)$ |
| vEB-HL | $O(m n \log \log n)$ | $O(n \log m)$ |

- $n$ is the length of text $T$.
- $m$ is the length of pattern $P$.


## Observation

- For a vertex $v \in[m]$ and an index $i \in[n]$, we call a pair ( $v, i$ ) pivot.


|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | $9 \quad 10$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | 11 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 23 | 19 | 5 | 15 | 21 | 24 |

## Observation

- For a vertex $v \in[m]$ and an index $i \in[n]$, we call a pair ( $v, i$ ) pivot.
- Fix a position between the root of $C T(P)$ and an index of $T$ by a pivot.
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## Observation

- For a vertex $v \in[m]$ and an index $i \in[n]$, we call a pair ( $v, i$ ) pivot.
- Fix a position between the root of $C T(P)$ and an index of $T$ by a pivot.
- Independent subproblems appear in the left and right intervals with respect to the root position.
- Occurrence intervals for the left and right subtrees can be used to construct the overall occurrence interval.



## Fixed-interval

## Definition (fixed-interval)

A fixed-interval with the pivot $(v, i)$ is an occurrence interval such that the corresponding locations between $v$ and $i$ are fixed.


Figure: Example of fixed-intervals with the pivot (2,4)

## Uniqueness

Lemma (uniqueness)
For any pivot $(v, i) \in[m] \times[n]$, there exists at most one minimal fixed-interval with the pivot $(v, i)$


Figure: The minimal fixed-intervals $[3,9]$ with the pivot $(2,4)$
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## The main Idea of our algorithm

## Definition (DP table)

Let $[L(v, i), R(v, i)]$ be the minimal fixed-interval with the pivot $(v, i)$.

- Compute minimal fixed-intervals for all pivot ( $(v, i$ ) in a bottom-up manner from the leaves using dynamic programming.
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## Recurrence formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{i \quad \text { if } v . \text { left }=\text { null, } \\
& L(v, i)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\max _{\substack{1 \leq j \leq i-1 \\
T[i]<T[j]}}\{L(v . \text { left }, j) \mid R(v . \text { left }, j)<i\} \\
j
\end{array} \text { otherwise } .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Recurrence formula

$$
L(v, i)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
i & \text { if } v . \text { left = null, } \\
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\end{array}\right.
$$
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## Recurrence formula

$$
\int i \quad \text { if } v . \text { left }=\text { null, }
$$

$$
L(v, i)=\left\{\max _{\substack{1 \leq j \leq i-1 \\ T[i]<T[j]}}\{L(v . \text { left }, j) \mid R(v . \text { left }, j)<i\} \text { otherwise } .\right.
$$

Candidate intervals


The largest left end of the interval among candidates

## Computational complexity

## Theorem. 1

The CTMSeq problem can be solved in $O\left(m n^{2}\right)$ time using $O(m n)$ space.

- The size of the tables $L(v, i)$ and $R(v, i)$ is $\Theta(m n)$ and the time complexity to compute one cell is $O(n)$.
- By tracing these tables, we can obtain a concrete subsequence of $T$ in $O(m)$ time for each minimal occurrence interval.



## Improve time complexity

Theorem. 2
The CTMS eq problem can be solved in $O(m n \log \log n)$ time using $O(m n)$ space.

- Manage a set of the right end of candidate intervals with a predecessor dictionary for fast finding the target interval.


Figure: Find the target interval [2,5] by executing predecessor(8)
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## Improve space complexity

## Theorem. 3

The CTMSeq problem can be solved in $O(m n \log \log n)$ time using $O(n \log m)$ space.

1. Free up memory for vertices of $C T(P)$ that are no longer needed.

- If always go down to the left subtree first, there are worst case examples to lead the space complexity $\Theta(m n)$.


Figure: worst case example of $C T(P)$ which causes the space complexity $\Theta(m n)$.
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## Improve space complexity

## Theorem． 3

The CTMSeq problem can be solved in $O(m n \log \log n)$ time using $O(n \log m)$ space．

1．Free up memory for vertices of $C T(P)$ that are no longer needed．
－If always go down to the left subtree first，there are worst case examples to lead the space complexity $\Theta(m n)$ ．

2．Go down to the larger subtree first．


Figure：worst case example of $C T(P)$ which causes the space complexity $\Theta(m n)$ ．
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## Experiments

- The most theoretically superior algorithm vEB-HL outperforms the other algorithms.
- The fastest and the second fastest algorithms are highlighted.
- The shortest and the second shortest memory usage algorithms are highlighted

|  |  | simple |  | simple-HL |  | vEB |  | vEB-HL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $m$ | time | space | time | space | time | space | time space | The most theoretically |
| 5000 | 50 | 2.03 | 1980 | 0.09 | 3148 | 0.03 | 2496 | (0.03) 2124 | superior algorithm |
| 5000 | 500 | 19.20 | 2788 | 19.86 | 2168 | 0.37 | 3272 | 0.37 2596 |  |
| 5000 | 1000 | 40.62 | 2932 | 40.34 | 2236 | 0.73 | 3520 | 0.73 2604 |  |
| 5000 | 2500 | 96.27 | 3124 | 96.23 | 2368 | 1.84 | 3532 | 1.84 2816 |  |
| 10000 | 50 | 7.77 | (2128 | 7.74 | (1804 | 0.07 | 2504 | 0.072188 | minate the program if th |
| 10000 | 1000 | 159.82 | 2740 | 159.70 | 1960 | 1.38 | 3128 | 1.38 2352 | ecution time exceed 60 sec |
| 10000 | 2000 | 321.07 | 2920 | 323.09 | 2068 | 3.08 | 3312 | (3.09) 2452 | $T$ is a uniform random |
| 10000 | 5000 | 841.85 | 3252 | 835.29 | 2212 | 7.22 | 3644 | (7.23) 2592 | permutation, and |
| 50000 | 50 | 206.49 | 4976 | 211.24 | 3836 | 0.39 | 6076 | (0.40) 4920 | pattern $P$ is a uniform random |
| 50000 | 5000 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 39.98 | 13040 | 39.706576 | subsequence of $T$. |
| 50000 | 10000 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 79.42 | 12684 | 80.2078044 |  |
| 50000 | 25000 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 199.14 | 13900 | 197.71 7340 | - Unit is [sec], [KB]. |

## Conclusion \& Open Problem

| algorithm | time | space |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| simple | $O\left(m n^{2}\right)$ | $O(m n)$ |
| vEB-HLD | $O(m n \log \log n)$ | $O(n \log m)$ |

- $n$ is the length of text $T$
- $\quad m$ is the length of pattern $P$
- Open problems
- How can we improve computational complexity?
- How can we show the conditional lower bound?

